arXiv:1701.04471v1 [math.CO] 16 Jan 2017

Signed edge domination numbers of complete tripartite graphs: Part 2

Abdollah Khodkar
Department of Mathematics
University of West Georgia
Carrollton, GA 30118
akhodkar@westga.edu

Abstract

The closed neighborhood $N_G[e]$ of an edge e in a graph G is the set consisting of e and of all edges having an end-vertex in common with e. Let f be a function on $E(G)$, the edge set of G, into the set $\{-1, 1\}$. If $\sum_{x\in N[e]} f(x) \geq 1$ for each edge $e \in E(G)$, then f is called a signed edge dominating function of G. The signed edge domination number of G is the minimum weight of a signed edge dominating function of G. In this paper, we find the signed edge domination number of the complete tripartite graph $K_{m,n,p}$, where $1 \leq m \leq n$ and $p \geq m + n$. This completes the search for the signed edge domination numbers of the complete tripartite graphs.

Keywords: domination in graphs, edge domination, signed edge domination
MSC 2000: 05C69

1 Introduction

Let G be a simple non-empty graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. We use [4] for terminology and notation not defined here. Two edges e_1, e_2 of G are called *adjacent* if they are distinct and have a common end-vertex. The *open neighborhood* $N_G(e)$ of an edge $e \in E(G)$ is the set of all edges adjacent to e. Its *closed neighborhood* is $N_G[e] = N_G(e) \cup \{e\}$. For a function $f : E(G) \to \{-1, 1\}$ and a subset S of $E(G)$ we define $f(S) = \sum_{x\in S} f(x)$. If $S = N_G[e]$ for some $e \in E$, then we denote $f(S)$ by $f[e]$. The *weight* of vertex $v \in V(G)$ is defined by $f(v) = \sum_{e\in E(v)} f(e)$, where $E(v)$ is the set of all edges at vertex v. A function $f : E(G) \to \{-1, 1\}$ is

called a *signed edge dominating function* (SEDF) of G if $f[e] \geq 1$ for each edge $e \in E(G)$. The SEDF of a graph was first defined in [5]. The *weight* of f, denoted $w(f)$, is defined to be $w(f) = \sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e)$. *The signed edge domination number* (SEDN) $\gamma'_s(G)$ is defined as $\gamma'_s(G) = \min\{w(f) \mid f \text{ is an SEDF of } G\}$. An SEDF f is called a $\gamma'_s(G)$-function if $\omega(f) = \gamma'_s(G)$. In [5] it was conjectured that $\gamma'_s(G) \leq |V(G)| - 1$ for every graph G of order at least 2.

The signed edge domination numbers of the complete graph K_n and the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ were determined in [6] and [1], respectively. In [3], the signed edge domination number of $K_{m,n,p}$ was calculated when $1 \leq m \leq n \leq p \leq m + n$. For completeness, we state the main theorem of [3].

Theorem 1. Let m, n and p be positive integers and $m \leq n \leq p \leq m + n$. Let $(m, n, p) \notin \{(1, 1, 1), (2, 3, 5)\}$.

A. Let m, n and p be even.

1. If $m + n + p \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, then $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (m + n + p)/2$.
2. If $m + n + p \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, then $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (m + n + p + 2)/2$.

B. Let m, n and p be odd.

1. If $m + n + p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, then $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (m + n + p + 1)/2$.
2. If $m + n + p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, then $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (m + n + p + 3)/2$.

C. Let m, n be odd and p be even or m, n be even and p be odd.

1. If $m + n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, then $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (m + n)/2 + p + 1$.
2. If $m + n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, then $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (m + n)/2 + p$.

D. Let m, p be odd and n be even or m, p be even and n be odd.

1. If $m + p \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, then $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (m + p)/2 + n + 1$.
2. If $m + p \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, then $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (m + p)/2 + n$.

E. Let n, p be odd and m be even or n, p be even and m be odd.

1. If $n + p \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, then $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (n + p)/2 + m + 1$.
2. If $n + p \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, then $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (n + p)/2 + m$.

In addition, $\gamma'_s(K_{1,1,1}) = 1$ and $\gamma'_s(K_{2,3,5}) = 5$.

In this paper, we find the signed edge domination number of the complete tripartite graph $K_{m,n,p}$, when $m, n \geq 1$ and $p \geq m + n$. In Section 2, we present some crucial results which will be employed in the rest of this paper. In Section 3, we prove that if f is a $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p})$-function, then $f(w) \geq -1$ for every vertex w in the largest partite set of $K_{m,n,p}$. In Section 4, we present general constructions for the SEDFs of $K_{m,n,p}$ with minimum weight. In Section 5, we calculate the signed edge domination numbers of $K_{1,n,p}$ and $K2, 2, p$, where p is even. These cases do not follow the constructions given in Section 4. In addition, we notice that $\gamma'_s(K_{1,n,n+3}) = 2n + 3$ when n is odd. So there is an infinite family of graphs which achieve the upper bound given in Xu's conjecture (see [5]). The main theorem of this paper is presented in Section 6.

2 Preliminary results

Let f be a SEDF of G. An edge $e \in E(G)$ is called a *negative edge* (*positive edge*) if $f(e) = -1$ ($f(e) = 1$). Let uv be an edge of G and suppose that x, y are the number of negative edges at vertices u and v, respectively. Then

$$f[uv] = \deg(u) + \deg(v) - 2x - 2y - f(uv) \geq 1. \tag{1}$$

Hence, if $e = uv$, then $f(u)+f(v) \geq 0$ for every edge $e \in E(G)$. In addition, if $f(u) + f(v) = 0$ or 1, then $f(e) = -1$.

The following result can be found in [2]. Since there are typos in the proof given in [2] we modify the proof and present it here.

Lemma 2. Let G be a graph, $u, v \in V(G)$ and $N(u)\backslash\{v\} = N(v)\backslash\{u\}$. Let f be a SEDF of G. Then there exists a SEDF of G, say g, with $w(g) = w(f)$ such that the difference between the number of negative edges at u and at v is at most 1.

Proof. Let $V(G) = \{v_1 = u, v_2 = v, v_3, \ldots, v_n\}$ and let x_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be the number of edges e at v_i with $f(e) = -1$.

If $x_1 \leq x_2 - 2$, then there exists a vertex v_ℓ such that $f(v_1v_\ell) = 1$ and $f(v_2v_\ell) = -1$ for some $\ell \in \{3, 4, \ldots, n\}$. Define $g : E(G) \to \{-1, 1\}$ by $g(v_1v_\ell) = -1$, $g(v_2v_\ell) = 1$ and $g(e) = f(e)$ for $e \in E(G) \setminus \{v_1v_\ell, v_2v_\ell\}$. Let y_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be the number of edges e at v_i with $g(e) = -1$. Obviously, $y_1 = x_1+1$, $y_2 = x_2-1$ and $y_i = x_i$ for $3 \leq i \leq n$. In addition, $w(g) = w(f)$. We prove that g is a SEDF of G.

If $v_1v_j \in E(G)$ and $j \notin \{1, 2, \ell\}$

$$\begin{aligned} g[v_1v_j] &= \deg(v_1) + \deg(v_j) - 2y_1 - 2y_j - g(v_1v_j) \\ &= \deg(v_1) + \deg(v_j) - 2x_1 - 2 - 2x_j - f(v_1v_j) \\ &\geq \deg(v_2) + \deg(v_j) - 2x_2 + 2 - 2x_j - f(v_1v_j) \\ &\geq \deg(v_2) + \deg(v_j) - 2x_2 - 2x_j - f(v_2v_j) \\ &\geq k \qquad \text{by (1),} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g[v_2v_j] &= \deg(v_2) + \deg(v_j) - 2y_2 - 2y_j - g(v_2v_j) \\ &= \deg(v_2) + \deg(v_j) - 2x_2 + 2 - 2x_j - f(v_2v_j) \\ &\geq k + 2 \qquad \text{by (1).} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $e \in E(G) \setminus \{v_1v_j, v_2v_j \mid j \notin \{1, 2, \ell\}\}$, we obtain $g[e] = f[e] \geq k$. In addition, if $v_1v_2 \in E(G)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} g[v_1v_2] &= \deg(v_1) + \deg(v_2) - 2y_1 - 2y_2 - g(v_1v_2) \\ &= \deg(v_1) + \deg(v_2) - 2x_1 - 2x_2 - f(v_1v_2) \\ &\geq k \qquad \text{by (1).} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, g is a SEDF of G. If g satisfies the required condition, the proof is complete. Otherwise, by repeating this process we can obtain the required function. □

Corollary 3. Let G be a complete multipartite graph. There exists a $\gamma'_{sk}(G)$-function f such that the difference between the number of negative edges at every two vertices in the same partite set is at most 1.

3 SEDFs of complete tripartite graphs with vertices of negative weight

Consider the complete tripartite graph $K_{m,n,p}$ with partite sets U, V and W. Throughout this section we assume $|U| = m$, $|V| = n$ and $|W| = p$, where $1 \leq m \leq n \leq p$. In this section, we study SEDFs f of $K_{m,n,p}$ such that $f(w) < 0$ for some $w \in W$.

Lemma 4. Let m, n, p be all even or all odd and $1 \leq m \leq n \leq p$. If f is a $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p})$-function, then $f(w) \geq 0$ for every vertex of $w \in W$.

Proof. The proof is by contradiction. By Corollary 3, we may assume that the difference between the number of negative edges at every two vertices in the same partite set of $K_{m,n,p}$ is at most 1. Assume $f(w) = -2k$, where $1 \leq k \leq (m + n)/2$, for some $w \in W$, and $f(w') \geq -2k$ for all $w' \in W$. Then there are $(m+n+2k)/2$ negative edges and $(m+n-2k)/2$ positive edges at w. Therefore the weight of $(m+n+2k)/2$ vertices in $U \cup V$ must

be at least $2k$ and the weight of $(m+n-2k)/2$ vertices in $U \cup V$ must be at least $2k+2$. Since $m \leq n$ and f is a $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p})$-function, we can assume $f(u) = 2k$ for every $u \in U$. So there are $(n+p-2k)/2$ negative edges at every vertex $u \in U$. Let $U \cup V_1$, where $V_1 \subseteq V$, consist of vertices of weight $2k$ and let $V_2 = V \setminus V_1$ consist of vertices of weight $2k+2$. Since $(m+n+2k)/2 > m$ and f is a $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p})$-function, it follows that there is a vertex $v \in V_1$ of weight $2k$. Indeed, $|V_1| = (n-m+2k)/2$. Therefore there are $(m+p-2k)/2$ negative edges at v. Let $W_1 \subseteq W$ consist of vertices of weight $-2k$. Since every vertex in V_1 must be joined to every vertex in W_1 with a negative edge by (1), it follows that $|W_1| \leq (m+p-2k)/2$. Hence, $|W \setminus W_1| \geq (p-m+2k)/2$ and every vertex in this set has weight $-2k+2$. Note that $(n+p-2k)/2-(m+p-2k)/2 = (n-m)/2$. Let W_2 be a subset of $W \setminus W_1$ with $(n-m)/2$ vertices and the edges between W_2 and U are all negative edges. Let $W_3 = W \setminus (W_1 \cup W_2)$. Then

$$|W_3| \geq p - [(m+p-2k)/2 + (n-m)/2] = (p-n+2k)/2 \geq 1.$$

Now let $w' \in W_3$. Then the edges between w' and $U \cup V_1$ are all positive edges. Therefor the number of negative edges at w' is at most $(n+m-2k)/2$. On the other hand, for every vertex $w \in W_1$ there are $(n+m+2k)/2$ negative edges. Since $k \geq 1$, the difference between the number of negative edges at w and at w' is $2k \geq 2$, which is a contradiction. □

The proof of the following result is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.

Lemma 5. *Let m, n be even and p be odd or m, n be odd and p be even, where $1 \leq m \leq n < p$. If f is a $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p})$-function, then $f(w) \geq 0$ for every vertex of $w \in W$.*

Proof. The proof is by contradiction. Let m, n be even and p be odd. (The case m, n odd and p even is similar.) By Corollary 3, we may assume that the difference between the number of negative edges at every two vertices in the same partite set of $K_{m,n,p}$ is at most 1. Assume $f(w) = -2k$, where $1 \leq k \leq (m+n)/2$, for some $w \in W$, and $f(w') \geq -2k$ for all $w' \in W$. Then there are $(m+n+2k)/2$ negative edges and $(m+n-2k)/2$ positive edges at w. Therefore the weight of $(m+n+2k)/2$ vertices in $U \cup V$ must be at least $2k+1$ and the weight of $(m+n-2k)/2$ vertices in $U \cup V$ must be at least $2k+3$. Since $m \leq n$ and f is a $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p})$-function, we can assume $f(u) = 2k+1$ for every $u \in U$. So there are $(n+p-2k-1)/2$ negative edges at every vertex $u \in U$. Let $U \cup V_1$, where $V_1 \subseteq V$, consist of vertices of weight $2k+1$ and let $V_2 = V \setminus V_1$ consist of vertices of weight $2k+3$. Since $(m+n+2k)/2 > m$ and f is a $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p})$-function, it follows that there is a vertex $v \in V_1$ of weight $2k+1$. Indeed, $|V_1| = (n-m+2k)/2$. Therefore there are $(m+p-2k-1)/2$ negative

edges at v. Let $W_1 \subseteq W$ consist of vertices of weight $-2k$. Since every vertex in V_1 must be joined to every vertex in W_1 with a negative edge by (1), it follows that $|W_1| \leq (m+p-2k-1)/2$. Hence, $|W \setminus W_1| \geq (p-m+2k+1)/2$ and every vertex in this set has weight $-2k+2$. Note that $(n+p-2k-1)/2-(m+p-2k-1)/2 = (n-m)/2$. Let W_2 be a subset of $W \setminus W_1$ with $(n-m)/2$ vertices and the edges between W_2 and U are all negative edges. Let $W_3 = W \setminus (W_1 \cup W_2)$. Then

$$|W_3| \geq p - [(m+p-2k-1)/2 + (n-m)/2] = (p-n+2k+1)/2 \geq 1.$$

Now let $w' \in W_3$. Then the edges between w' and $U \cup V_1$ are all positive edges. Therefor the number of negative edges at w' is at most $(n+m-2k)/2$. On the other hand, for every vertex $w \in W_1$ there are $(n+m+2k)/2$ negative edges. Since $k \geq 1$, the difference between the number of negative edges at w and at w' is $2k \geq 2$, which is a contradiction. □

Lemma 6. Let m be odd and n, p be even or m, p be even and n be odd, where $1 \leq m < n \leq p$. If f is a $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p})$-function, then $f(w) \geq -1$ for every vertex of $w \in W$.

Proof. The proof is by contradiction. Let m be odd and n, p be even. (The case m, p even and n odd is similar.) By Corollary 3, we may assume that the difference between the number of negative edges at every two vertices in the same partite set of $K_{m,n,p}$ is at most 1. Assume $f(w) = -2k-1$, where $1 \leq k \leq (m+n-1)/2$, for some $w \in W$, and $f(w') \geq -2k-1$ for all $w' \in W$. Then there are $(m+n+2k+1)/2$ negative edges and $(m+n-2k-1)/2$ positive edges at w. Therefore the weight of $(m+n+2k+1)/2$ vertices in $U \cup V$ must be at least $2k+1$ and the weight of $(m+n-2k-1)/2$ vertices in $U \cup V$ must be at least $2k+3$. Since $m < n$ and f is a $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p})$-function, we can assume $f(u) = 2k+2$ for every vertex $u \in U$. So there are $(n+p-2k-2)/2$ negative edges at every vertex $u \in U$. Let $V_1 \subset V$ consist of vertices of weight $2k+1$ and let $V_2 = V \setminus V_1$ consist of vertices of weight $2k+3$. Since $(m+n+2k+1)/2 > m$ and f is a $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p})$-function, it follows that there is a vertex $v \in V_1$ of weight $2k+1$. Indeed, $|V_1| = (n-m+2k+1)/2$. Therefore there are $(m+p-2k-1)/2$ negative edges at v. Let $W_1 \subseteq W$ consist of vertices of weight $-2k-1$. Since every vertex in V_1 must be joined to every vertex in W_1 with a negative edge by (1), it follows that $|W_1| \leq (m+p-2k-1)/2$. Hence, $|W \setminus W_1| \geq (p-m+2k+1)/2$ and every vertex in this set has weight $-2k+1$.

Note that $(n+p-2k-2)/2-(m+p-2k-1)/2 = (n-m-1)/2$. Let W_2 be a subset of $W \setminus W_1$ with $(n-m-1)/2$ vertices and the edges between W_2 and U are all negative edges. Let $W_3 = W \setminus (W_1 \cup W_2)$. Then

$$|W_3| \geq p - [(m+p-2k-1)/2 + (n-m-1)/2] = (p-n+2k+2)/2 \geq 2.$$

Now let $w' \in W_3$. Then the edges between w' and $U \cup V_1$ are all positive edges. Therefor the number of negative edges at w' is at most $(n+m-2k-1)/2$. On the other hand, for every vertex $w \in W_1$ there are $(n+m+2k+1)/2$ negative edges. Since $k \geq 1$, the difference between the number of negative edges at w and at w' is $2k+1 \geq 3$, which is a contradiction. □

The proof of the following result is similar to the proof of Lemma 6.

Lemma 7. *Let m, p be odd and n be even or m be even and n, p be odd, where $1 \leq m < n \leq p$. If f is a $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p})$-function, then $f(w) \geq -1$ for every vertex of $w \in W$.*

Proof. The proof is by contradiction. Let m, p be odd and n be even. (The case m even and n, p odd is similar.) By Corollary 3, we may assume that the difference between the number of negative edges at every two vertices in the same partite set of $K_{m,n,p}$ is at most 1. Assume $f(w) = -2k-1$, where $1 \leq k \leq (m+n-1)/2$, for some $w \in W$, and $f(w') \geq -2k-1$ for all $w' \in W$. Then there are $(m+n+2k+1)/2$ negative edges and $(m+n-2k-1)/2$ positive edges at w. Therefore the weight of $(m+n+2k+1)/2$ vertices in $U \cup V$ must be at least $2k+1$ and the weight of $(m+n-2k-1)/2$ vertices in $U \cup V$ must be at least $2k+3$. Since $m < n$ and f is a $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p})$-function, we can assume $f(u) = 2k+1$ for every vertex $u \in U$. So there are $(n+p-2k-1)/2$ negative edges at every vertex $u \in U$. Let $V_1 \subset V$ consist of vertices of weight $2k+2$ and let $V_2 = V \setminus V_1$ consist of vertices of weight $2k+4$. Since $(m+n+2k+1)/2 > m$ and f is a $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p})$-function, it follows that there is a vertex $v \in V_1$ of weight $2k+2$. Indeed, $|V_1| = (n-m+2k+1)/2$. Therefore there are $(m+p-2k-2)/2$ negative edges at v. Let $W_1 \subseteq W$ consist of vertices of weight $-2k-1$. Since every vertex in V_1 must be joined to every vertex in W_1 with a negative edge by (1), it follows that $|W_1| \leq (m+p-2k-2)/2$. Hence, $|W \setminus W_1| \geq (p-m+2k+2)/2$ and every vertex in this set has weight $-2k+1$.

Note that $(n+p-2k-1)/2 - (m+p-2k-2)/2 = (n-m+1)/2$. Let W_2 be a subset of $W \setminus W_1$ with $(n-m+1)/2$ vertices and the edges between W_2 and U are all negative edges. Let $W_3 = W \setminus (W_1 \cup W_2)$. Then

$$|W_3| \geq p - [(m+p-2k-2)/2 + (n-m+1)/2] = (p-n+2k+1)/2 \geq 1.$$

Now let $w' \in W_3$. Then the edges between w' and $U \cup V_1$ are all positive edges. Therefor the number of negative edges at w' is at most $(n+m-2k-1)/2$. On the other hand, for every vertex $w \in W_1$ there are $(n+m+2k+1)/2$ negative edges. Since $k \geq 1$, the difference between the number of negative edges at w and at w' is $2k+1 \geq 3$, which is a contradiction. □

4 The SEDN of $K_{m,n,p}$

Consider the complete tripartite graph $K_{m,n,p}$ whose partite sets are U, V and W. Throughout this section we assume $|U| = m$, $|V| = n$ and $|W| = p$, where m, n and p are positive integers, $m \leq n$ and $p \geq m+n$ In this section we compute the signed edge domination number of $K_{m,n,p}$, where $m \geq 2$ and $(m, n) \neq (2, 2)$ if p is odd.

Theorem 8. Let m, n and p be even and $p \geq m + n$. Then $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = m + n$.

Proof. Consider the graph $K_{m,n,p}$ whose partite sets are U, V and W. By assumption

$$m(n + p - 2)/2 + n(m + p - 2)/2 - p(m + n)/2 = mn - m - n$$

is even. First we label $(mn - m - n)/2$ edges between U and V with -1 in the following way. Label an edge uv, where $u \in U$ and $v \in V$, with -1 if

1. the total number of negative edges between U and V is less than $(mn - m - n)/2$,
2. the number of negative edges at u is less than $(n + p - 2)/2$,
3. the number of negative edges at v is less than $(m + p - 2)/2$,
4. the number of negative edges at u is less than or equal to the number of negative edges at u' for every $u' \in (U \setminus \{u\})$, and
5. the number of negative edges at v is less than or equal to the number of negative edges at v' for every $v' \in (V \setminus \{v\})$.

Then we label $p(m+n)/2$ edges between $U \cup V$ and W with -1 in a similar fashion described above. An edge rw, where $r \in (U \cup V)$ and $w \in W$ is labelled by -1 if

1. the number of negative edges between $U \cup V$ and W is less than $p(m + n)/2$,
2. the number of negative edges at r is less than $(n + p - 2)/2$ if $r \in U$,
3. the number of negative edges at r is less than $(m + p - 2)/2$ if $r \in V$,
4. the number of negative edges at w is less than $(m + n)/2$,
5. the number of negative edges at r is less than or equal to the number of negative edges at u for every $u \in (U \setminus \{r\})$ if $r \in U$,

6. the number of negative edges at r is less than or equal to the number of negative edges at v for every $v \in (V \setminus \{r\})$ if $r \in V$,

7. the number of negative edges at w is less than or equal to the number of negative edges at w' for every $w' \in (W \setminus \{w\})$.

Then there are exactly $((p+n)/2)-1$, $((p+m)/2)-1$ and $(m+n)/2$ negative edges at every vertex in U, V and W, respectively. Label the remaining edges of $K_{m,n,p}$ by $+1$. Then all vertices in $U \cup V$ have weight 2 and all the vertices in W have weight zero. Hence, this labeling defines a SEDF f of $K_{m,n,p}$ by (1), and $\omega(f) = m+n$. Note that since the weight of every vertex of W is zero, no vertices in $U \cup V$ can have weight zero by (1). Now by Lemma 4 and the facts that $f(W) = 0$ and $f(r) = 2$ for every $r \in U \cup V$, it follows that $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = m+n$. □

Theorem 9. Let m, n and p be odd, $m, n \geq 3$ and $p \geq m+n+1$. Then $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = m+n+1$.

Proof. Consider the graph $K_{m,n,p}$ whose partite sets are U, V and W. By assumption,

$$m(n+p-2)/2 + n(m+p-2)/2 - p(m+n)/2 = mn - m - n$$

is odd. In addition, $(n+p-2)/2$, $(m+p-2)/2$ and $(m+n)/2$ are all odd, or two are even and one is odd. Hence, there is no graph whose m vertices have degree $(n+p-2)/2$, n vertices have degree $(n+p-2)/2$ and p vertices have degree $(m+n)/2$.
On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &m(n+p-2)/2 + n(m+p-2)/2 - (p-1)(m+n)/2 \\ &-(m+n-2)/2 = mn - m - n + 1, \end{aligned}$$

is an even number. We label $(mn-m-n+1)/2$ edges between U and V and $(p-1)(m+n)/2 + (m+n-2)/2$ edges between $U \cup V$ and W with -1 in a similar fashion described in Theorem 8. Then there are $(n+p-2)/2$ negative edges at each vertex of U, $(m+p-2)/2$ negative edges at each vertex of V and $(m+n)/2$ negative edges at each vertex of W except one vertex which is incident with $(m+n-2)/2$ negative edges. We label the remaining edges of $K_{m,n,p}$ with $+1$. Then the weight of vertices in $U \cup V$ are all 2 and the weight of vertices in W are all zero except one vertex of W whose weight is 2. Hence, this labeling defines a SEDF f of $K_{m,n,p}$ by (1), and $\omega(f) = m+n+1$.

Note that since the weight of every vertex of W is zero, no vertices in $U \cup V$ can have weight zero by (1). Now by Lemma 4 and the facts that $f(W) = 2$ and $f(r) = 2$ for every $r \in U \cup V$, it follows that $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = m+n+1$. □

Theorem 10. Let $m, n \geq 3$ be odd, p be even and $p \geq m + n$. Then

1. $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{3m + 3n + 2}{2}$ if $m + n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$,

2. $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{3m + 3n}{2}$ if $m + n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

Proof. Consider the graph $K_{m,n,p}$ whose partite sets are U, V and W.
Case 1. $m + n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$.
By assumption,

$$(m-1)(n+p-3)/2 + (n+p-5)/2 + n(m+p-3)/2 \\ -p(m+n)/2 = mn - 1 - (3m+3n)/2$$

is even. Label $(1/2)[mn - 1 - (3m + 3n)/2]$ edges between U and V and $p(m + n)/2$ edges between $U \cup V$ and W with -1 in a similar fashion described in the proof of Theorem 8. Then every vertex in U is incident with $(n + p - 3)/2$ negative edges except one vertex which is incident with $(n+p-5)/2$ negative edges. Every vertices in V is incident with $(m+p-3)/2$ negative edges and every vertex in W is incident with $(m + n)/2$ negative edges. Label the remaining edges of $K_{n,m,p}$ by $+1$. Then the weight of vertices in $U \cup V$ are all 3 except one vertex of U whose weight is 5, and the weight of vertices in W are all zero. Hence, this labeling defines a SEDF f with $w(f) = (3m + 3n + 2)/2$.

Note that since the weight of every vertex of W is zero, no vertices in $U \cup V$ can have weight one by (1). Now by Lemma 5 and the facts that $f(W) = 0$ and $f(r) = 3$ for every $r \in U \cup V$ except one vertex which has weight 5, it follows that $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (3m + 3n + 2)/2$.

Case 2. $m + n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.
By assumption,

$$m(p+n-3)/2 + n(m+p-3)/2 - p(m+n)/2 = mn - (3m+3n)/2$$

is even. Label $(1/2)[mn-(3m+3n)/2]$ edges between U and V and $p(m+n)/2$ edges between $U \cup V$ and W with -1 in a similar fashion described in the proof of Theorem 8. Then every vertex in U is incident with $(n+p-3)/2$ negative edges, every vertex in V is incident with $(m + p - 3)/2$ negative edges and every vertex in W is incident with $(m + n)/2$ negative edges. Label the remaining edges of $K_{n,m,p}$ with $+1$. Then the weight of vertices in $U \cup V$ are all 3 and the weight of vertices in W are all zero. Hence, this labeling defines a SEDF f with $w(f) = (3m + 3n)/2$.

Note that since the weight of every vertex of W is zero, no vertices in $U \cup V$ can have weight one by (1). Now by Lemma 5 and the facts that $f(W) = 0$ and $f(r) = 3$ for every $r \in U \cup V$, it follows that $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (3m + 3n)/2$. □

Theorem 11. Let m and n be even, $(m,n) \neq (2,2)$, p be odd and $p \geq m+n+1$. Then

1. $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{3m+3n}{2}$ if $m+n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$,

2. $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{3m+3n+2}{2}$ if $m+n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

Proof. Consider the graph $K_{m,n,p}$ whose partite sets are U, V and W.
Case 1. $m+n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$.
By assumption,

$$m(n+p-3)/2 + n(m+p-3)/2 - p(m+n)/2 = mn - (3m+3n)/2$$

is even. Label $(1/2)[mn - 3(m+n)/2]$ edges between U and V and $p(m+n)/2$ edges between $U \cup V$ and W with -1 as described in the proof of Theorem 8. Then every vertex in U is incident with $(n+p-3)/2$ negative edges, every vertex in V is incident with $(m+p-3)/2$ negative edges and every vertex in W is incident with $(m+n)/2$ negative edges. Label the remaining edges of $K_{n,m,p}$ by $+1$. Then the weight of vertices in $U \cup V$ are all 3 and the weight of vertices in W are all zero. Hence, this labeling defines a SEDF f with $w(f) = (3m+3n)/2$.

Note that since the weight of every vertex of W is zero, no vertices in $U \cup V$ can have weight one by (1). Now by Lemma 5 and the facts that $f(W) = 0$ and $f(r) = 3$ for every $r \in U \cup V$, it follows that $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p}) = (3m+3n)/2$.

Case 2. $m+n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.
By assumption,

$$\begin{aligned}&(m-1)(n+p-3)/2 + (n+p-5)/2 + n(m+p-3)/2\\ &-p(m+n)/2 = mn - 1 - (3m+3n)/2\end{aligned}$$

is even. Label $(1/2)[mn - 1 - 3(m+n)/2]$ edges between U and V and $p(m+n)/2$ edges between $U \cup V$ and W with -1 as described in the proof of Theorem 8. Then every vertex in U is incident with $(n+p-3)/2$ negative edges except one vertex which is incident with $(n+p-5)/2$ negative edges, every vertex in V is incident with $(m+p-3)/2$ negative edges, and every vertex in W is incident with $(m+n)/2$ negative edges. Label the remaining edges of $K_{n,m,p}$ with $+1$. Then the weight of vertices in $U \cup V$ are all 3 except one vertex whose weight is 5 and the weight of vertices in W are all zero. Hence, this labeling defines a SEDF f with $w(f) = (3m+3n+2)/2$.

Note that since the weight of every vertex of W is zero, no vertices in $U \cup V$ can have weight one by (1). Now by Lemma 5 and the facts that $f(W) = 0$, $f(u) = 3$ for every vertex u in U except one vertex whose

weight is 5, and $f(v) = 3$ for every $v \in V$, it follows that $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (3m + 3n + 2)/2$. □

Theorem 12. Let m be odd, n, p be even, $3 \leq m < n$ and $p \geq m + n + 1$. Then

1. $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{3m + 2n + 1}{2}$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$,
2. $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{3m + 2n - 1}{2}$ if $m \equiv 3 \pmod 4$

Proof. Consider the graph $K_{m,n,p}$ whose partite sets are U, V and W.
Case 1. $m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$.
By assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} &m(n + p - 2)/2 + ((n - m - 1)/2)(m + p - 1)/2 \\ &+((n + m + 1)/2)(m + p - 3)/2 - (p/2)(m + n + 1)/2 \\ &-(p/2)(m + n - 1)/2 = mn - n - (3m + 1)/2 \end{aligned}$$

is even. Partition V into V_1 and V_2 with $|V_1| = (n-m-1)/2$ and $|V_2| = (n+m+1)/2$. Also partition W into W_1 and W_2 with $|W_1| = |W_2|$. In a similar fashion described in the proof of Theorem 8, label $(1/2)[mn-n-(3m+1)/2]$ edges between U and V and $(p/2)(m + n + 1)/2 + (p/2)(m + n - 1)/2$ edges between $U \cup V$ and W with -1 such that the edges between V_1 and W_1 are all negative edges. In addition, every vertex in U is incident with $(n + p - 2)/2$ negative edges, every vertex in V_1, V_2 is incident with $(m + p - 1)/2$ and $(m + p - 3)/2$ negative edges, respectively, and every vertex in W_1, W_2 is incident with $(m+n+1)/2$ and $(m+n-1)/2$ negative edges, respectively. Label the remaining edges of $K_{n,m,p}$ by $+1$. Then the weight of vertices in U are all 2, the weight of vertices in V_1, V_2 are all $1, 3$, respectively, and the weight of vertices in W_1 are all -1 and in W_2 are $+1$. Hence, this labeling defines a SEDF f with $w(f) = (3m + 2n + 1)/2$.

Note that since the weight of some vertices in W is -1, no vertices in $U \cup V$ can have weight zero or -1 by (1). Now by Lemma 6 and the facts that $f(W) = 0$, $f(u) = 2$ for every $u \in U$, $f(v) = 1$ for every $v \in V_1$ and $f(v) = 3$ for every $v \in V_2$, it follows that $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (3m + 2n + 1)/2$.

Case 2. $m \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.
By assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} &m(n + p - 2)/2 + ((n - m + 1)/2)(m + p - 1)/2 \\ &+((n + m - 1)/2)(m + p - 3)/2 - (p/2)(m + n + 1)/2 \\ &-(p/2)(m + n - 1)/2 = mn - n - (3m - 1)/2 \end{aligned}$$

is even. Partition V into V_1 and V_2 with $|V_1| = (n-m+1)/2$ and $|V_2| = (n+m-1)/2$. Also partition, W into W_1 and W_2 with $|W_1| = |W_2|$. In a similar

fashion described in the proof of Theorem 8, label $(1/2)[mn-n-(3m-1)/2]$ edges between U and V and $(p/2)(m+n+1)/2+(p/2)(m+n-1)/2$ edges between $U \cup V$ and W with -1 such that the edges between V_1 and W_1 are all negative edges. In addition, every vertex in U is incident with $(n+p-2)/2$ negative edges, every vertex in V_1, V_2 is incident with $(m+p-1)/2$ and $(m+p-3)/2$ negative edges, respectively, and every vertex in W_1, W_2 is incident with $(m+n+1)/2$ and $(m+n-1)/2$ negative edges, respectively. Label the remaining edges of $K_{n,m,p}$ by $+1$. Then the weight of vertices in U are all 2, the weight of vertices in V_1, V_2 are all $1, 3$, respectively, and the weight of vertices in W_1 are all -1 and in W_2 are $+1$. Hence, this labeling defines a SEDF f with $w(f) = (3m+2n-1)/2$.

Note that since the weight of some vertices in W is -1, no vertices in $U \cup V$ can have weight zero or -1 by (1). Now by Lemma 6 and the facts that $f(W) = 0$, $f(u) = 2$ for every $u \in U$, $f(v) = 1$ for every $v \in V_1$ and $f(v) = 3$ for every $v \in V_2$, it follows that $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p}) = (3m+2n-1)/2$. □

Theorem 13. Let m, p be even, n be odd, $m < n$ and $p \geq m+n+1$. Then

1. $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{2m+3n+1}{2}$ if $n \equiv 1 \pmod 4$,

2. $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{2m+3n-1}{2}$ if $n \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.

Proof. Consider the graph $K_{m,n,p}$ whose partite sets are U, V and W.
Case 1. $n \equiv 1 \pmod 4$.
By assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} &m(n+p-1)/2+((n-m-1)/2)(m+p-2)/2 \\ &+((n+m+1)/2)(m+p-4)/2-(p/2)(m+n+1)/2 \\ &-(p/2)(m+n-1)/2 = mn-m-(3n+1)/2 \end{aligned}$$

is even. Partition V into V_1 and V_2 with $|V_1| = (n-m-1)/2$ and $|V_2| = (n+m+1)/2$. Also partition, W into W_1 and W_2 with $|W_1| = |W_2|$. In a similar fashion described in the proof of Theorem 8, label $(1/2)[mn-n-(3n+1)/2]$ edges between U and V and $(p/2)(m+n+1)/2+(p/2)(m+n-1)/2$ edges between $U \cup V$ and W with -1 such that the edges between V_1 and W_1 are all negative edges. In addition, every vertex in U is incident with $(n+p-1)/2$ negative edges, every vertex in V_1, V_2 is incident with $(m+p-2)/2$ and $(m+p-4)/2$ negative edges, respectively, and every vertex in W_1, W_2 is incident with $(m+n+1)/2$ and $(m+n-1)/2$ negative edges, respectively. Label the remaining edges of $K_{n,m,p}$ by $+1$. Then the weight of vertices in U are all 1, the weight of vertices in V_1, V_2 are all $2, 4$, respectively, the weight of vertices in W_1 are all -1 and in W_2 are $+1$. Hence, this labeling defines a SEDF f with $w(f) = (2m+3n+1)/2$.

Note that since the weight of some vertices in W is -1, no vertices in $U \cup V$ can have weight zero or -1 by (1). Now by Lemma 6 and the facts that $f(W) = 0$, $f(u) = 1$ for every $u \in U$, $f(v) = 2$ for every $v \in V_1$ and $f(v) = 4$ for every $v \in V_2$, it follows that $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p}) = (2m + 3n + 1)/2$.

Case 2. $n \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.
By assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} &m(n+p-1)/2 + ((n-m-1)/2)(m+p-2)/2 \\ &+((n+m+1)/2)(m+p-4)/2 - (p/2)(m+n+1)/2 \\ &-(p/2)(m+n-1)/2 = mn - m - (3n-1)/2 \end{aligned}$$

is even. Partition V into V_1 and V_2 with $|V_1| = (n-m+1)/2$ and $|V_2| = (n+m-1)/2$. Also partition, W into W_1 and W_2 with $|W_1| = |W_2|$. In a similar fashion described in the proof of Theorem 8, label $(1/2)[mn-n-(3n+1)/2]$ edges between U and V and $(p/2)(m+n+1)/2 + (p/2)(m+n-1)/2$ edges between $U \cup V$ and W with -1 such that the edges between V_1 and W_1 are all negative edges. In addition, every vertex in U is incident with $(n+p-1)/2$ negative edges, every vertex in V_1, V_2 is incident with $(m+p-2)/2$ and $(m+p-4)/2$ negative edges, respectively, and every vertex in W_1, W_2 is incident with $(m+n+1)/2$ and $(m+n-1)/2$ negative edges, respectively. Label the remaining edges of $K_{n,m,p}$ by $+1$. Then the weight of vertices in U are all 1, the weight of vertices in V_1, V_2 are all $2, 4$, respectively, and the weight of vertices in W_1 are all -1 and in W_2 are $+1$. Hence, this labeling defines a SEDF f with $w(f) = (2m + 3n - 1)/2$.

Note that since the weight of some vertices in W is -1, no vertices in $U \cup V$ can have weight zero or -1 by (1). Now by Lemma 6 and the facts that $f(W) = 0$, $f(u) = 1$ for every $u \in U$, $f(v) = 2$ for every $v \in V_1$ and $f(v) = 4$ for every $v \in V_2$, it follows that $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p}) = (2m+3n-1)/2$. □

Theorem 14. Let m, p be odd, n be even, $3 \leq m < n$, and $p \geq m + n$. Then

1. $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{2m+3n}{2}$ if $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$,

2. $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{2m+3n-2}{2}$ if $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$,

Proof. Consider the graph $K_{m,n,p}$ whose partite sets are U, V and W.
Case 1. $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$.
By assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} &m(n+p-1)/2 + ((n-m-1)/2)(m+p-2)/2 \\ &+((n+m+1)/2)(m+p-4)/2 - ((p+1)/2)(m+n+1)/2 \\ &-((p-1)/2)(m+n-1)/2 = mn - m - (3n+2)/2 \end{aligned}$$

is even. Partition V into V_1 and V_2 with $|V_1| = (n-m-1)/2$ and $|V_2| = (n+m+1)/2$. Also partition W into W_1 and W_2 with $|W_1| = |W_2| + 1$. In a similar fashion described in the proof of Theorem 8, label $(1/2)[mn - m - (3n+2)/2]$ edges between U and V and $((p+1)/2)(m+n+1)/2 + ((p-1)/2)(m+n-1)/2$ edges between $U \cup V$ and W with -1 such that the edges between V_1 and W_1 are all negative edges. In addition, every vertex in U is incident with $(n+p-1)/2$ negative edges, every vertex in V_1, V_2 is incident with $(m+p-2)/2$ and $(m+p-4)/2$ negative edges, respectively, and every vertex in W_1, W_2 is incident with $(m+n+1)/2$ and $(m+n-1)/2$ negative edges, respectively. Label the remaining edges of $K_{n,m,p}$ by $+1$. Then the weight of vertices in U are all 1, the weight of vertices in V_1, V_2 are all $2, 4$, respectively, and the weight of vertices in W_1 are -1 and in W_2 are $+1$. Hence, this labeling defines a SEDF f with $w(f) = (2m+3n)/2$.

Note that since the weight of some vertices in W is -1, no vertices in $U \cup V$ can have weight zero or -1 by (1). Now by Lemma 7 and the facts that $f(W) = -1$, $f(u) = 1$ for every $u \in U$, $f(v) = 2$ for every $v \in V_1$ and $f(v) = 4$ for every $v \in V_2$, it follows that $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (2m+3n)/2$.

Case 2. $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.
By assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} &m(n+p-1)/2 + ((n-m+1)/2)(m+p-2)/2 \\ &+((n+m-1)/2)(m+p-4)/2 - ((p+1)/2)(m+n+1)/2 \\ &-((p-1)/2)(m+n-1)/2 = mn - m - 3n/2 \end{aligned}$$

is even. Partition V into V_1 and V_2 with $|V_1| = (n-m+1)/2$ and $|V_2| = (n+m-1)/2$. Also partition W into W_1 and W_2 with $|W_1| = |W_2| + 1$. In a similar fashion described in the proof of Theorem 8, label $(1/2)[mn - m - 3n/2]$ edges between U and V and $((p+1)/2)(m+n+1)/2 + ((p-1)/2)(m+n-1)/2$ edges between $U \cup V$ and W with -1 such that the edges between V_1 and W_1 are all negative edges. In addition, every vertex in U is incident with $(n+p-1)/2$ negative edges, every vertex in V_1, V_2 is incident with $(m+p-2)/2$ and $(m+p-4)/2$ negative edges, respectively, and every vertex in W_1, W_2 is incident with $(m+n+1)/2$ and $(m+n-1)/2$ negative edges, respectively. Label the remaining edges of $K_{n,m,p}$ by $+1$. Then the weight of vertices in U are all 1, the weight of vertices in V_1, V_2 are all $2, 4$, respectively, and the weight of vertices in W_1 are all -1 and in W_2 are $+1$. Hence, this labeling defines a SEDF f with $w(f) = (2m+3n-2)/2$.

Note that since the weight of some vertices in W is -1, no vertices in $U \cup V$ can have weight zero or -1 by (1). Now by Lemma 7 and the facts that $f(W) = -1$, $f(u) = 1$ for every $u \in U$, $f(v) = 2$ for every $v \in V_1$ and $f(v) = 4$ for every $v \in V_2$, it follows that $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (2m+3n-2)/2$. □

Theorem 15. Let m be even, n, p be odd, $m < n$, and $p \geq m + n$. Then there is an SEDF f of $K_{m,n,p}$ with

1. $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{3m + 2n}{2}$ if $m \equiv 0 \pmod 4$,

2. $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{3m + 2n - 2}{2}$ if $m \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

Proof. Consider the graph $K_{m,n,p}$ whose partite sets are U, V and W.
Case 1. $m \equiv 0 \pmod 4$.
By assumption,

$$m(n + p - 2)/2 + ((n - m + 1)/2)(m + p - 1)/2 \\ +((n + m - 1)/2)(m + p - 3)/2 - ((p - 1)/2)(m + n + 1)/2 \\ -((p + 1)/2)(m + n - 1)/2 = mn - n - (3m - 2)/2$$

is even. Partition V into V_1 and V_2 with $|V_1| = (n - m + 1)/2$ and $|V_2| = (n + m - 1)/2$. Also partition W into W_1 and W_2 with $|W_1| = |W_2| - 1$. In a similar fashion described in the proof of Theorem 8, label $(1/2)[mn - n - (3m - 2)/2]$ edges between U and V and $((p - 1)/2)(m + n + 1)/2 + ((p + 1)/2)(m + n - 1)/2$ edges between $U \cup V$ and W with -1 such that the edges between V_1 and W_1 are all negative edges. In addition, every vertex in U is incident with $(n + p - 2)/2$ negative edges, every vertex in V_1, V_2 is incident with $(m + p - 1)/2$ and $(m + p - 3)/2$ negative edges, respectively, and every vertex in W_1, W_2 is incident with $(m+n+1)/2$ and $(m + n - 1)/2$ negative edges, respectively. Label the remaining edges of $K_{n,m,p}$ by $+1$. Then the weight of vertices in U are all 2, the weight of vertices in V_1, V_2 are all $1, 3$, respectively, and the weight of vertices in W_1 are all -1 and in W_2 are $+1$. Hence, this labeling defines a SEDF f with $w(f) = (3m + 2n)/2$.

Note that since the weight of some vertices in W is -1, no vertices in $U \cup V$ can have weight zero or -1 by (1). Now by Lemma 7 and the facts that $f(W) = 1$, $f(u) = 2$ for every $u \in U$, $f(v) = 1$ for every $v \in V_1$ and $f(v) = 3$ for every $v \in V_2$, it follows that $\gamma_s'(K_{m,n,p}) = (3m + 2n)/2$.

Case 2. $m \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.
By assumption,

$$m(n + p - 2)/2 + ((n - m + 1)/2)(m + p - 1)/2 \\ +((n + m - 1)/2)(m + p - 3)/2 - ((p + 1)/2)(m + n + 1)/2 \\ -((p - 1)/2)(m + n - 1)/2 = mn - n - (3m)/2$$

is even. Partition V into V_1 and V_2 with $|V_1| = (n - m + 1)/2$ and $|V_2| = (n + m - 1)/2$. Also partition W into W_1 and W_2 with $|W_1| = |W_2| + 1$. In a similar fashion described in the proof of Theorem 8, label $(1/2)[mn -$

$n - 3m/2]$ edges between U and V and $((p+1)/2)(m+n+1)/2 + ((p-1)/2)(m+n-1)/2$ edges between $U \cup V$ and W with -1 such that the edges between V_1 and W_1 are all negative edges. In addition, every vertex in U is incident with $(n+p-2)/2$ negative edges, every vertex in V_1, V_2 is incident with $(m+p-1)/2$ and $(m+p-3)/2$ negative edges, respectively, and every vertex in W_1, W_2 is incident with $(m+n+1)/2$ and $(m+n-1)/2$ negative edges, respectively. Label the remaining edges of $K_{n,m,p}$ by $+1$. Then the weight of vertices in U are all 2, the weight of vertices in V_1, V_2 are all $1, 3$, respectively, and the weight of vertices in W_1 are all -1 and in W_2 are $+1$. Hence, this labeling defines a SEDF f with $w(f) = (3m + 2n - 2)/2$.

Note that since the weight of some vertices in W is -1, no vertices in $U \cup V$ can have weight zero or -1 by (1). Now by Lemma 7 and the facts that $f(W) = -1$, $f(u) = 2$ for every $u \in U$, $f(v) = 1$ for every $v \in V_1$ and $f(v) = 3$ for every $v \in V_2$, it follows that $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = (3m+2n-2)/2$. □

5 The SEDNs of $K_{1,n,p}$ and $K_{2,2,p}$

The constructions given in Section 4 work if the sum of the desired negative edges at vertices in U and at vertices in V is not less than the desired negative edges at vertices in W. In this section we calculate the signed edge domination numbers of $K_{1,n,p}$ and $K_{2,2,p}$ which are not covered by constructions given in Section 4.

Lemma 16. Let $n \geq 1$ and $p \geq n + 1$.

1. If n, p are odd, then $\gamma'_s(K_{1,n,p}) = n + 2$.
2. If n, p are even, then $\gamma'_s(K_{1,n,p}) = n + 2$.
3. If n is even and p is odd, then $\gamma'_s(K_{1,n,p}) = 2n + 1$.
4. If n is odd and p is even, then $\gamma'_s(K_{1,n,p}) = 2n + 3$.

Proof. Consider the graph $K_{1,n,p}$ whose partite sets are U, V and W.
Case 1. n and p are odd.
Since $mn - m - n = n - 1 - n = -1$ when $m = 1$, the construction given in Theorem 9 does not work. On the other hand,

$$m(n+p-2)/2 + n(m+p-2)/2 - (p-1)(m+n)/2 - (m+n-2)/2 = 0$$

when $m = 1$. So we can label $(n+p-2)/2 + n(1+p-2)/2$ edges between $U \cup V$ and W such that the vertex in U is incident with $(n + p - 2)/2$ negative edges, each vertex in V is incident with $(p - 1)/2$ negative edges and all the vertices in W are incident with $(n+1)/2$ negative edges except one vertex which is incident with $(n - 1)/2$ negative edges. We label the

remaining edges with +1. This yields a signed edge dominating function of weight $n+2$. It is easy to see that this is the minimum weight of a SEDF of $K_{1,n,p}$ when n, p are odd.

Case 2. n and p are even.
Since $mn - n - (3m+1)/2 = -2$ when $m = 1$, the construction given in Theorem 12 does not work. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}&m(n+p-2)/2 + ((n-m+1)/2)(m+p-1)/2\\&+((n+m-1)/2)(m+p-3)/2 - (p/2)(m+n+1)/2\\&-((p-2)/2)(m+n-1)/2 - (m+n-3)/2 = mn - n - (3m-3)/2 = 0\end{aligned}$$

when $m = 1$. So we can label $m(n+p-2)/2 + ((n-m+1)/2)(m+p-1)/2$ $+ ((n+m-1)/2)(m+p-3)/2$ edges between $U \cup V$ and W such that the vertex in U is incident with $(n+p-2)/2$ negative edges, half of the vertices in V are incident with $p/2$ negative edges and the other half are incident with $(p-2)/2$ negative edges, $p/2$ vertices in W are incident with $(n+2)/2$, $(p-2)/2$ vertices are incident with $n/2$ and one vertex is incident with $(n-2)/2$ negative edges. We label the remaining edges with $+1$. This yields a signed edge dominating function of weight $n+2$. It is easy to see that this is the minimum weight of a SEDF of $K_{1,n,p}$ when n, p are even.

Case 3. n is even and p is odd.
If $m = 1$, then $mn - m - 3n/2 < 0$, so the constructions given in Theorem 14 do not work. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}&m(n+p-1)/2 + ((n-m+1)/2)(m+p-2)/2\\&+((n+m-1)/2)(m+p-4)/2 - ((p-n-1)/2)(m+n+1)/2\\&-((p+n+1)/2)(m+n-1)/2 = mn - m - n + 1 = 0\end{aligned}$$

when $m = 1$. Place $m(n+p-1)/2 + ((n-m+1)/2)(m+p-2)/2 + ((n+m-1)/2)(m+p-4)/2$ negative edges between $U \cup V$ and W such that the vertex in U is incident with $(n+p-1)/2$ negative edges, $(n-m+1)/2$ vertices in V are incident with $(m+p-2)/2$ negative edges, $(n+m-1)/2$ vertices are incident with $(m+p-4)/2$ negative edges, $(p-n-1)/2$ vertices of W are incident with (m+n+1)/2 negative edges and $(p+n+1)/2$ vertices of W are incident with (m+n-1)/2 negative edges. Label the remaining edges with $+1$. This yields a signed edge dominating function of weight $2n+m$ which is $2n+1$ when $m = 1$. It is an easy to see that $\gamma(K_{1,n,p}) = 2n+1$.

Case 4. n is odd and p is even.
If $m = 1$, then $mn - (3m+3n)/2 < 0$, so the constructions given in Theorem 10 do not work. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}&m(n+p-3)/2 + n(m+p-3)/2 - (p-(n+3)/2)(m+n)/2\\&-((n+3)/2)(m+n-2)/2 = (2mn - 3m - 2n + 3)/2 = 0\end{aligned}$$

when $m = 1$. So we can find a signed edge dominating function of weight $(1/2)[3 + 3n + 2(n + 3)/2] = 2n + 3$. It is easy to verify that $\gamma'_s(K_{1,n,p}) = 2n + 3$. □

In [5] it was conjectured that $\gamma'_s(G) \leq |V(G)| - 1$ for every graph G of order at least 2. Note that if n is odd, then $\gamma'_s(K_{1,n,n+3}) = 2n + 3$ by Lemma 16, Part 4. Hence, the graph $K_{1,n,n+3}$ achieves the upper bound in this conjecture.

Lemma 17. Let $p \geq 5$ be odd. Then $\gamma'_s(K_{2,2,p}) = 8$.

Proof. Consider the graph $K_{m,n,p}$ with partite sets U, V and W. Partition W into W_1, W_2 and W_3 such that $|W_1| = |W_2|$ and $|W_3| = 1$. Label the edges between U and W_1 and between V and W_2 with -1 and the remaining edges with $+1$. Then the weight of vertices in $W_1 \cup W_2$ are zero and the weight of the vertex in W_3 is 4. The weight of the vertices in $U \cup V$ are all 3. This leads to $\gamma'_s(K_{2,2,p}) = 8$. □

6 Main Theorem

Let m, n, p be positive integers, $m \leq n$ and $p \geq m + n$. In this section we state the main theorem of this paper. This result together with the main result of [3] provide the signed edge domination number of $K_{m,n,p}$ for all positive integers m, n and p.

Main Theorem Let m, n and p be positive integers, $m \leq n$ and $p \geq m + n$. Let $m \geq 2$ and if p is odd, $(m, n) \neq (2, 2)$.

A. If m, n and p are even, then $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = m + n$.

B. If m, n and p are odd and $m, n \geq 3$, then $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = m + n + 1$.

C. If $m, n \geq 3$ are odd and p is even, then

1. $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{3m + 3n + 2}{2}$ if $m + n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$,
2. $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{3m + 3n}{2}$ if $m + n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

D. If m, n are even, p is odd and $(m, n) \neq (2, 2)$, then

1. $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{3m + 3n}{2}$ if $m + n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$,
2. $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{3m + 3n + 2}{2}$ if $m + n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

E. If m is odd, n, p are even and $3 \leq m < n$, then

1. $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{3m+2n+1}{2}$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$,
2. $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{3m+2n-1}{2}$ if $m \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.

F. If m, p are even, n is odd and $m < n$, then

1. $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{2m+3n+1}{2}$ if $n \equiv 1 \pmod 4$,
2. $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{2m+3n-1}{2}$ if $n \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.

G. If m, p are odd, n is even and $3 \leq m < n$, then

1. $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{2m+3n}{2}$ if $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$,
2. $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{2m+3n-2}{2}$ if $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

H. If m is even, n, p are odd and $m < n$, then

1. $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{3m+2n}{2}$ if $m \equiv 0 \pmod 4$,
2. $\gamma'_s(K_{m,n,p}) = \dfrac{3m+2n-2}{2}$ if $m \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.

In addition, $\gamma'_s(K_{1,n,p}) = n+2$ if n, p are both odd or both even, $\gamma'_s(K_{1,n,p}) = 2n+1$ if n is even and p is odd, $\gamma'_s(K_{1,n,p}) = 2n+3$ if n is odd and p is even, and $\gamma'_s(K_{2,2,p}) = 8$ if p is odd.

References

[1] S. Akbari, S. Bolouki, P. Hatamib and M. Siami, *On the signed edge domination number of graphs*, Discrete Mathematics **309** (2009), 587–594.

[2] A. Carney and A. Khodkar, *Signed edge k-domination numbers in graphs*, Bulletin of the Institute of Combinatorics and its Applications **62** (2011), 66–78.

[3] A. Khodkar and A.N. Ghameshlou, *Signed edge domination numbers of complete tripartite graphs: Part One*, Utilitas Mathematica, (accepted).

[4] D.B. West, *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Prentice-Hall, Inc, 2000.

[5] B. Xu, *On signed edge domination numbers of graphs*, Discrete Mathematics **239** (2001), 179–189.

[6] B. Xu, *On signed edge domination in graphs*, J. East China Jiaotong Univ. **4** (2003), 102–105 (in Chinese).